

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Weedall
Given Name	Nicola
Person ID	1286925
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Weedall
Given Name	Nicola
Person ID	1286925
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Weedall
Given Name	Nicola
Person ID	1286925
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Weedall
Given Name	Nicola
Person ID	1286925
Title	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Weedall
Given Name	Nicola
Person ID	1286925
Title	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Weedall
Given Name	Nicola
Person ID	1286925
Title	Supporting Evidence
Type	Web
Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Worsley in particular has very little access to public transport. Despite the East Lancs which has a one way route into Manchester there is little out of the town going to and from other locations.</p> <p>The traffic in this area is unbearable at times. The state of the roads and the poor safety measures for the high number of small children walking to school everyday make it a very unsafe place to 'wander'. Adding more volume to that traffic with no real plans to fix the problem that has been around for years will height in the risk of injury to pedestrians. The junction of Broadoak and Hazelhurst is a crash site waiting to happen. Kids run and hope for the best when crossing that road. I see it everyday. A simple island would provide safety for pedestrians and despite the numerous requests it's never considered. Yet with an additional 800+ cars on the road we will no doubt see bunches of flowers there very soon.</p> <p>There is always a need for more houses - Worsley is an affluent area and building 400 houses (the way new houses are built these days) is going to drive a bigger gap between those who can and those who can't afford to live here.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

It's impossible to build affordable houses for 1st time buyers in a place like this. It will drive the wrong behaviour within the local housing market as they are likely to be snapped up by people who can afford more than one home and will then rent for extortionate prices.

The school situation is an even bigger problem. The local schools are over subscribed and the types of houses you're intending to build are likely to bring more young families who will in turn struggle to place their kids in schools in the area. Secondary schools is an even bigger problem.

I'm all for making areas better and more so for ensuring people can afford to live in these lovely areas - but you can't just squeeze 400 houses on a site and 'hope for the best'. Without this proposal, there is so many improvements to be made in the Worsley area, this is going to heighten those issues and put more pressure on local councils to deal with them.

I'm not a 'oh no protect my home / way of living' resident. So I'm happy to add a more balanced view with my objection should this be required.

Please fix the issues before adding more to the problem. Then there will be no objection from me.